

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,595	12/29/2000	Min Zhu	16440.4004	8830
34313 7	590 04/13/2006		EXAMINER	
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP			ALAM, UZMA	
	TION DEPARTMENT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4 PARK PLAZ SUITE 1600	ZA		2157	THE ENTONIER
IRVINE, CA 92614-2558			DATE MAILED: 04/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			E			
. V.	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>			
Advisory Action	09/751,595	ZHU ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Uzma Alam	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
HE REPLY FILED 10 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).  MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 27 CER 1.135(c). The date on	).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	pe filed within the time period set fo	rth in 37 CFR 41.37(	a).			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f. will not be entered t	because			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		1				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	/ <del></del>	timely filed amendm	nent canceling			
the non-allowable claim(s).	mowable if subfinited in a separate,	titlely liled afficilians	lent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .			:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none.</u> AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9.   The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing						
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	y and was not earlier presented. S	See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	1).			
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	:hed.			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
13.						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As per applicant's arguments that neither Butler nor Tang teach monitoring said repective management process with a single supervisory process to determine whether quality of service is met. Butler combined with Tang, however, do teach that when the quality of service is not met, as taught by the quality of service of the application determined by the mouse movement, then some action is taken by the application, the action consisting of either the service waits or ends the service. Tang teaches the other logical processes that can occur which the system is waiting, such as send status messages to othe applications in the service.

ARIO ETIENNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SECRETARIA DE CENTER 2100